

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,896	03/30/2004	Jin-seok Lee	1572.1246	1334	
21171 STAAS & HAL	7590 01/22/2007 SFY LLP		EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			ZERVIGON, RUDY		
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N. DC 20005	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/811,896	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rudy Zervigon	1763	

	Rudy Zervigon	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FI 136(a) and the appropriat	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Office	ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acauco.
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,5,11,14,15 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21-29.	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	-		·
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	The	Jun 7/1/2/2
•		Rudy Zervigon Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763	11.87

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: None of the pending (elected) claims are amended to overcome the Examiner's art-based rejections. The Examiner maintains his grounds of rejection as conveyed in the 10/13/6 final office action.